	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/702,370	GORANS, MARC S.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert P. Swiatek	3643
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal of the communication appeal claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not included
1. X This communication is responsive to Petition and Informati	on Disclosure Statement filed 14 Ma	arch 2005.
2. \boxtimes The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-30</u> .		
3. $igotimes$ The drawings filed on <u>24 February 2004</u> are accepted by the	ne Examiner.	
4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subministed in the subministed process of the priority document in the subministed process. 6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submined including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the depose attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT in the such as the depose attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT in the such as the depose attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT in the such as the depose attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT in the such as the such as the depose attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT in the such as the such as the such as the depose attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT in the such as the such as the such as the depose attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT in the such as the such	been received. been received in Application No cuments have been received in this of this communication to file a reply IENT of this application. itted. Note the attached EXAMINER as reason(s) why the oath or declarate the submitted. son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- as Amendment / Comment or in the Comment or in the Comment of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL resist of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL resist of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL resisted in Application No comment /	complying with the requirements 'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF ation is deficient. 948) attached Office action of a continuous in the front (not the back) of d). must be submitted. Note the
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 3-14-05 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat 8), 7. ☐ Examiner's Amendr 8. ☑ Examiner's Stateme	e

pe

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 3643

Reasons for Allowance

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The claims in this application have been allowed because the prior art does not disclose a method and system for processing hatchlings, including the steps of loading a hatchling into one of a plurality of carriers, each carrier comprising an identification tag associated therewith; determining a processing sequence—including selecting at least two processing stations at which each hatchling will undergo processing; and processing each hatchling in the at least two selected processing stations, with processing sequences for at least two loaded hatchling carriers being different. The cited prior art includes a method and apparatus for sex determination of avian eggs through the use of nuclear magnetic resonance. This prior art invention includes cups for carrying individual eggs as well as a computer processor and software for determining whether the egg embryos have male or female sex organs based upon received NMR images; it does not include at least two processing stations for hatchlings, with at least one of a station for collecting data regarding a hatchling and a station capable of changing the hatchling, an identification tag associated with each carrier, and a control system routing the hatchling carriers along a conveying system connecting the stations and activating the stations as needed.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

Application/Control Number: 10/702,370

Art Unit: 3643

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

The patent to Zermoglio et al. (US 6,634,319 B1) has been cited to provide an additional example of a unit for treating chickens.

RPS: Ø571/272-6894

18 May 2005

Robot P. Swistel

Page 3

ROBERT P. SWIATEK
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 323 3643